NADIA AHMED Nevada Bar No. 15489 SKLAR WILLIAMS PLLC 2 410 S. Rampart Blvd. #210 Las Vegas, NV 89145 Phone: 702-360-6000 3 Fax: 702-360-0000 Email: nahmed@sklar-law.com 4 Attorneys for the Defendant 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No. 2:21-mj-00139-DJA 8 Plaintiff, 9 ORDER to Continue Preliminary Hearing v. (Fourth Request) 10 KEION JOE'L CHERRY, 11 Defendant. 12 13 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Christopher Chiou, 14 Acting United States Attorney; Lisa Cartier Giroux, Assistant United States Attorney; Kimberly 15 Sokolich, Assistant United States Attorney, representing the United States of America and Nadia 16 J. Ahmed, Esq., counsel for defendant Keion Joe'l Cherry, that the preliminary hearing in the 17 above captioned case, which is currently scheduled for August 2, 2021 at 4:00pm, be continued 18 and reset to a date and time convenient to the Court, but no sooner than thirty days (30) days. 19 1. Current counsel was appointed to replace prior counsel on March 2, 2021 (ECF No. 20 14) and needs additional time to review discovery, to meet and confer with defendant, and to 21 discuss hearing strategies in this case. 22 2. The government has provided counsel for the defendant with limited Rule 16 pre-23 indictment discovery. Counsel for the defendant requests additional time to review the discovery 24 and discuss it with her client prior to a preliminary hearing or indictment. Additionally, the parties are discussing a pre-indictment resolution that may resolve the matter without a preliminary hearing.

- 3. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow defense counsel an opportunity to review discovery with her client and prepare for the preliminary hearing.
  - 4. The defendant is not detained and agrees to the continuance.
- 5. Both counsel for the defendant and counsel for the government agree to the continuance.
- 6. Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(d) provides that a magistrate judge may extend the time limits in Rule 5.1(c) with the defendant's consent and upon a showing of good cause taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases. Because the defendant requires time to review discovery with their client prior to the preliminary hearing, good cause exists to extend the time limits in Rule 5.1(c).
- 7. The time from August 2, 2021, to the new preliminary hearing date will be excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), which provides that the Court may exclude time arising from a continuance upon finding that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best interests of the defendant and the public in a speedy trial.
- 8. Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- 9. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), and considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).

. . .

. . .

. . .

1	10. This is the fourth request to continue the preliminary hearing.		
2			
3	DATED this 30th day of July, 2021.		
4			
5	CHRISTOPHER CHIOU Acting United States Attorney		
6	/s/ Lisa C. Cartier Giroux /s/ Nadia Ahmed		
7	LISA C. CARTIER GIROUX Assistant United States Attorney  NADIA AHMED, Esq. Counsel for Defendant Anderson		
8	/s/ Kimberly Sokolich		
9	KIMBERLY SOKOLICH Assistant United States Attorney		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA 2 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Case No.: 2:21-mj-00139-DJA 3 Findings and Order on Stipulation Plaintiff, ) 4 VS. 5 KEION JOE'L CHERRY, 6 Defendant. 7 8 Based on the pending Stipulation between the defense and the government, and good 9 cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby finds that: 10 1. Current counsel was appointed to replace prior counsel on March 2, 2021 (ECF No. 14) and needs additional time to review discovery, to meet and confer with 11 defendant, and to discuss hearing strategies in this case. 12 2. The government has provided counsel for the defendant with limited Rule 16 pre-13 indictment discovery. Counsel for the defendant requests additional time to review 14 the discovery and discuss it with her client prior to a preliminary hearing or 15 indictment. 16 To allow the defense time to review the discovery with their client prior to the 3. preliminary hearing and with the defendant's consent, the preliminary hearing in 17 this case should be continued for good cause. 18 4. The defendant is not detained and agrees to the continuance. 19 5. Both counsel for the defendant and counsel for the government agree to the 20 continuance. 21 6. This continuance is not sought for purposes of delay, but to allow defense counsel 22 an opportunity to review discovery with their client prior to a preliminary hearing 23 or indictment.

Denial of this request could result in a miscarriage of justice, and the ends of

7.

24

## Cas@23212c2-D002j4070AB9T-DUJK Documenter 2:122 File till @7 / (38 / 02 / 2) Pag @ 5 of 5

1		justice se
2		the defen
3	8.	The addi
4		time with
5		Title 18,
		Title 18,
6	9.	This is th
7		by the pa
8	THI	EREFORE,
9	captioned r	natter currer
10	September 13, 2021, a	
11		2n
12	DA	ΓED this
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

- justice served by granting this request outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- 8. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the indictment must be filed pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(b), and considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(i) and (iv).
- 9. This is the second request for a continuance of the preliminary hearing requested by the parties.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the preliminary hearing in the above-captioned matter currently scheduled for August 2, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. be vacated and continued to September 13, 2021, at 4:00 p.m., Courtroom 3A.

2nd DATED this \_\_\_\_ day of August, 2021

HONORABLE DANIEL J. ALBREGTS United States Magistrate Judge